U.S. Government Party: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Mrs. Stafstrom Names: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Political Party Presentations**

On a scale of 0 – 3, 3 being the highest, rank the presentation as follows:

1. Was the presentation well organized? 0 1 2 3

1. Was the presentation rich in content? 0 1 2 3

1. Were the presenters in character and

enthusiastic? 0 1 2 3

1. Did you understand the information? 0 1 2 3

1. Did the presenters speak clearly and

have good eye contact? 0 1 2 3

→ **Total \_\_\_\_\_\_/15**

Comments (use reverse as well):

U.S. Government Party: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Mrs. Stafstrom Names: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Political Party Presentations**

On a scale of 0 – 3, 3 being the highest, rank the presentation as follows:

1. Was the presentation well organized? 0 1 2 3

1. Was the presentation rich in content? 0 1 2 3

1. Were the presenters in character and

enthusiastic? 0 1 2 3

1. Did you understand the information? 0 1 2 3

1. Did the presenters speak clearly and

have good eye contact? 0 1 2 3

→ **Total \_\_\_\_\_\_/15**

Comments (use reverse as well):